An approximation tour Approximation with pursuits

Mallat 1999 Ch. 9 Section 9.4

Approximations with pursuits

- To optimize non-linear signal approximations, one can adaptively choose the basis depending on the signal
- The set of orthogonal bases is much smaller than the set of non-orthogonal bases that could be constructed by choosing N linearly independent vectors from these P.
- To improve the approximation of complex signals such as music recordings, we study general *non-orthogonal* signal decompositions.
- Consider the space of signals of size N. Let

$$D = \left\{ g_p \right\}_{0 \le p < P}$$

 be a redundant dictionary of P>N vectors which includes at least N linearly independent vectors

Approximations with pursuits

For M≥1, an approximation f_M of f can be calculated with a linear combination of any M dictionary vectors

$$f_M = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} a[p_m] g_{p_m}.$$

- The freedom of choice opens the door to a considerable combinatorial explosion.
- For general dictionaries of P > N vectors, computing the approximation

 $f \sim$ that minimizes $||f - f_M||$ is an NP hard problem.

- This means that there is no known polynomial time algorithm that can solve this optimization.
- Pursuit algorithms reduce the computational complexity by searching for efficient but non-optimal approximations.

Basis pursuits

- A basis pursuit formulates the search as a linear programming problem, providing remarkably good approximations with "tractable" complexity.
- For large signals, this remains prohibitive. Matching pursuits are faster greedy algorithms that make the problem tractable
- We study the construction of a "best" basis B, not necessarily orthogonal, for efficiently approximating a signal *f*
- The N vectors of the basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{g_{p_m}\}_{0 \le m < N}$$

• are selected with a pursuit.

Basis pursuit

Let us decompose f in the basis

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} a[p_m] g_{p_m}.$$

• A basis pursuit searches for a basis that minimizes

$$C(f, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{\|f\|} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} |a[p_m]|.$$

Minimizing the I¹ norm of the decomposition coefficients avoids diffusing the energy of f among many vectors. It reduces cancellations between the vectors $a[p_m]g_{pm}$, that decompose f, because such cancellations increase $|a[p_m]|$ and thus increase the cost.

The minimization of an I¹ norm is also related to linear programming, which leads to fast computational effective algorithms.

Linear programming

Linear Programming Instead of immediately isolating subsets of N vectors in the dictionary \mathcal{D} , a linear system of size P is written with all dictionary vectors

$$\sum_{p=0}^{P-1} a[p] g_p[n] = f[n], \qquad (9.79)$$

while trying to minimize

$$\sum_{p=0}^{P-1} |a[p]|. \qquad NxP \qquad (9.80)$$

The system (9.79) can be expressed in matrix form with the $P \times N$ matrix $G = \{g_p[n]\}_{0 \le n < N, 0 \le p < P}$

$$Ga = f. \tag{9.81}$$

Although the minimization of (9.80) is nonlinear, it can be reformulated as a linear programming problem.

Linear programming

- It can be shown that the solution has at most N non zero coefficients
- In the non degenerate cases, which are most often encountered, the non zero coefficients correspond to N indicis {p_m}_{0≤m<M} such that

 $\{g_{p_m}\}_{0 \le m < N}$

- are linearly independent.
- This is the best basis of R^N that minimizes the cost.

- Despite the linear programming approach, a basis pursuit is computationally expensive because it minimizes a global cost function over all dictionary vectors.
- The matching pursuit introduced by Mallat and Zhang [259] reduces the computational complexity with a greedy strategy.
- Let $\mathcal{D} = \{g_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a dictionary of P>N vectors having unit norm.
- This dictionary includes N linearly independent vectors that define a basis of the space C^N of signals of size N.
- A matching pursuit begins by projecting f on a vector $g_{\gamma_0} \in \mathcal{D}$ and computing the residue Rf

$$f = \langle f, g_{\gamma_0} \rangle g_{\gamma_0} + Rf.$$

Since Rf is orthogonal to g_{γ_0}

$$||f||^{2} = |\langle f, g_{\gamma_{0}} \rangle|^{2} + ||Rf||^{2}.$$
(9.86)

To minimize ||Rf|| we must choose $g_{\gamma_0} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $|\langle f, g_{\gamma_0} \rangle|$ is maximum. In some cases, it is computationally more efficient to find a vector g_{γ_0} that is almost optimal:

$$|\langle f, g_{\gamma_0} \rangle| \ge \alpha \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle f, g_{\gamma} \rangle|, \tag{9.87}$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1]$ is an optimality factor. The pursuit iterates this procedure by subdecomposing the residue. Let $R^0 f = f$. Suppose that the m^{th} order residue $R^m f$ is already computed, for $m \ge 0$.

The next iteration chooses $g_{\gamma_m} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

 $|\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle| \ge \alpha \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma} \rangle|,$

and projects $R^m f$ on g_{γ_m} :

$$R^{m}f = \langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma_{m}} \rangle g_{\gamma_{m}} + R^{m+1}f. \qquad (9.89)$$

The orthogonality of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}f$ and g_{γ_m} implies

$$\|R^m f\|^2 = |\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle|^2 + \|R^{m+1} f\|^2. \quad (9.90)$$

Summing (9.89) from m between 0 and M - 1 yields

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle g_{\gamma_m} + R^M f.$$
(9.91)

Similarly, summing (9.90) from m between 0 and M - 1 gives

$$||f||^{2} = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma_{m}} \rangle|^{2} + ||R^{M}f||^{2}.$$
(9.92)

The following theorem proves that $||R^m f||$ converges exponentially to 0 when m tends to infinity.

Theorem

Theorem 9.10 There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $m \ge 0$

$$\|R^m f\| \le 2^{-\lambda m} \|f\|.$$
(9.93)

As a consequence

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle g_{\gamma_m}, \qquad (9.94)$$

and

$$||f||^{2} = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} |\langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma_{m}} \rangle|^{2}.$$
(9.95)

- The convergence rate X decreases when the size N of the signal space increases.
- In the limit of infinite dimensional spaces, Jones' theorem proves that the algorithm still converges but the convergence is not exponential [230,259].
- Observe that even in finite dimensions, an infinite number of iterations is necessary to completely reduce the residue.
- In most signal processing applications, this is not an issue because many fewer than N iterations are needed to obtain sufficiently precise signal approximations.

Fast network calculations

• A matching pursuit is implemented with a fast algorithm that computes $\langle R^{m+1}f, g_{\gamma} \rangle$ from $\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma} \rangle$ with a simple updating formula $R^m f = \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle g_{\gamma_m} + R^{m+1} f$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left\langle R^{m+1}f, g_{\gamma} \right\rangle = \left\langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma} \right\rangle - \left\langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma_{m}} \right\rangle \left\langle g_{\gamma_{m}}, g_{\gamma} \right\rangle$$

To reduce the computational load, it is necessary to construct dictionaries with vectors having a sparse interaction. This means that each g_{γ} has non-zero inner products with only a small fraction of all other dictionary vectors

• Dictionaries are designed so that non-zero weights $\langle g_{\alpha}, g_{\gamma} \rangle$ can be retrieved from memory or computed with O(1) operations

- A matching pursuit with a relative precision ε is implemented as follows
 1. *Initialization* Set m = 0 and compute {⟨f,g_γ⟩}_{γ∈Γ}.
 - 2. Best match Find $g_{\gamma_m} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

 $|\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle| \ge \alpha \sup |\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma} \rangle|.$ (9.102)

3. Update For all $g_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\langle g_{\gamma_m}, g_{\gamma} \rangle \neq 0$

$$\langle R^{m+1}f, g_{\gamma} \rangle = \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma} \rangle - \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle \langle g_{\gamma_m}, g_{\gamma} \rangle.$$

4. Stopping rule If

$$||R^{m+1}f||^{2} = ||R^{m}f||^{2} - |\langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma_{m}}\rangle|^{2} \le \epsilon^{2}||f||^{2}$$

then stop. Otherwise m = m + 1 and go to 2.

• If D is highly redundant, computations at steps 2 and 3 are reduced by performing the calculation on a subdictionary Ds

$$\mathcal{D}_s = \{g_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_s} \subset \mathcal{D}.$$

• The sub-dictionary Ds is constructed so that

if
$$g_{\tilde{\gamma}_m} \in \mathcal{D}_s$$
 maximizes $|\langle f, g_{\gamma} \rangle|$ in \mathcal{D}_s

- then there exists $g_{\gamma_m} \in \mathcal{D}$ which minimizes (9.102) and whos γ_m is close to $\tilde{\gamma}_m$
- The index γ_m is found by a local search
- This is done in time-frequency dictionaries where a sub-dictionary can be sufficient to indicate a time-frequency region where an almost best match is located.

Translation invariance

- Decompositions in orthogonal bases *lack translation invariance* and are thus difficult to use for pattern recognition.
- Matching pursuits are translation invariant *if calculated in translation invariant dictionaries*
- A dictionary is translation invariant if for any

$$g_{\gamma} \in D \text{ and } n \in [0, N-1] \rightarrow g_{\gamma}[n-p] \in D$$

• Suppose that the matching decomposition of f in D is

$$f[n] = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle g_{\gamma_m}[n] + R^M f[n].$$

Translation invariance

One can verify [151] that the matching pursuit of $f_p[n] = f[n-p]$ selects a translation by p of the same vectors g_{γ_m} with the same decomposition coefficients

$$f_p[n] = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle g_{\gamma_m}[n-p] + R^M f_p[n].$$

Patterns can thus be characterized independently of their position. The same translation invariance property is valid for a basis pursuit. However, translation invariant dictionaries are necessarily very large, which often leads to prohibitive calculations. Wavelet packet and local cosine dictionaries are not translation invariant because at each scale 2^j the waveforms are translated only by $k2^j$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Gabor dictionaries

- A time and frequency translation invariant Gabor dictionary is constructed by Qian and Chen **[287]** as well as Mallat and Zhong [259], by scaling, translating and modulating a Gaussian window.
- Gaussian windows are used because of their optimal time and frequency energy concentration, proved by the uncertainty theorem.

For each scale 2^{j} , a discrete window of period N is designed by sampling and periodizing a Gaussian $g(t) = 2^{1/4} \exp(-\pi t^2)$:

$$g_j[n] = K_j \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} g\left(\frac{n-pN}{2^j}\right)$$

Gabor dictionaries

The constant K_j is adjusted so that $||g_j|| = 1$. This window is then translated in time and frequency. Let Γ be the set of indexes $\gamma = (p, k, 2^j)$ for $(p, k) \in [0, N-1]^2$ and $j \in [0, \log_2 N]$. A discrete Gabor atom is

$$g_{\gamma}[n] = g_j[n-p] \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi kn}{N}\right). \tag{9.105}$$

The resulting Gabor dictionary $\mathcal{D} = \{g_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is time and frequency translation invariant modulo N. A matching pursuit decomposes real signals in this dictionary by grouping atoms g_{γ^+} and g_{γ^-} with $\gamma^{\pm} = (p, \pm k, 2^j)$. At each iteration, instead of projecting $R^m f$ over an atom g_{γ} , the matching pursuit computes its projection on the plane generated by $(g_{\gamma^+}, g_{\gamma^-})$. Since $R^m f[n]$ is real, one can verify that this is equivalent to projecting $R^m f$ on a real vector that can be written

$$g_{\gamma}^{\phi}[n] = K_{j,\phi} g_j[n-p] \cos\left(\frac{2\pi kn}{N} + \phi\right).$$

Gabor dictionaries

The constant $K_{j,\phi}$ sets the norm of this vector to 1 and the phase ϕ is optimized to maximize the inner product with $R^m f$. Matching pursuit iterations yield

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \langle R^m f, g^{\phi_m}_{\gamma_m} \rangle g^{\phi_m}_{\gamma_m}.$$
(9.106)

- The approximations of a matching pursuit are improved by orthogonalizing the directions of projection, with a Gram-Schmidt procedure
- The resulting orthogonal pursuit converges with a finite number of iterations, which is not the case for a non-orthogonal pursuit.
- The price to be paid is the important computational cost of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization.

The vector g_{γ_m} selected by the matching algorithm is a priori not orthogonal to the previously selected vectors $\{g_{\gamma_p}\}_{0 \le p < m}$. When subtracting the projection of $R^m f$ over g_{γ_m} the algorithm reintroduces new components in the directions of $\{g_{\gamma_p}\}_{0 \le p < m}$. This is avoided by projecting the residues on an orthogonal family $\{u_p\}_{0 \le p < m}$ computed from $\{g_{\gamma_p}\}_{0 \le p < m}$.

Let us initialize $u_0 = g_{\gamma_0}$. For $m \ge 0$, an orthogonal matching pursuit selects

 g_{γ_m} that satisfies

$$|\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle| \ge \alpha \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma} \rangle|.$$
(9.108)

The Gram-Schmidt algorithm orthogonalizes g_{γ_m} with respect to $\{g_{\gamma_p}\}_{0 \le p < m}$ and defines

$$u_m = g_{\gamma_m} - \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} \frac{\langle g_{\gamma_m}, u_p \rangle}{\|u_p\|^2} u_p.$$
(9.109)

The residue $R^m f$ is projected on u_m instead of g_{γ_m} :

$$R^{m}f = \frac{\langle R^{m}f, u_{m} \rangle}{\|u_{m}\|^{2}} u_{m} + R^{m+1}f. \qquad (9.110)$$

Summing this equation for $0 \le m < k$ yields

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\langle R^m f, u_m \rangle}{\|u_m\|^2} u_m + R^k f \qquad (9.111)$$

= $P_{\mathbf{V}_k} f + R^k f,$

where $P_{\mathbf{V}_k}$ is the orthogonal projector on the space \mathbf{V}_k generated by $\{u_m\}_{0 \le m < k}$. The Gram-Schmidt algorithm ensures that $\{g_{\gamma_m}\}_{0 \le m < k}$ is also a basis of \mathbf{V}_k . For any $k \ge 0$ the residue $\mathbb{R}^k f$ is the component of f that is orthogonal to \mathbf{V}_k . For m = k (9.109) implies that

$$\langle R^m f, u_m \rangle = \langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle.$$
 (9.112)

Since V_k has dimension k there exists $M \le N$ such that $f \in V_M$, so $R^M f = 0$ and inserting (9.112) in (9.111) for k = M yields

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \frac{\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle}{\|u_m\|^2} u_m.$$
(9.113)

The convergence is obtained with a finite number M of iterations. This is a decomposition in a family of orthogonal vectors so

$$||f||^{2} = \sum^{M-1} \frac{|\langle R^{m}f, g_{\gamma_{m}} \rangle|^{2}}{||u_{-}||^{2}}.$$
(9.114)

To expand f over the original dictionary vectors $\{g_{\gamma_m}\}_{0 \le m < M}$, we must perform a change of basis. The triangular Gram-Schmidt relations (9.109) are inverted to expand u_m in $\{g_{\gamma_p}\}_{0 \le p \le m}$:

$$u_m = \sum_{p=0}^m b[p,m] g_{\gamma_p}.$$
 (9.115)

Inserting this expression into (9.113) gives

$$f = \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} a[\gamma_p] g_{\gamma_p}$$
(9.116)

with

$$a[\gamma_p] = \sum_{m=p}^{M-1} b[p,m] \frac{\langle R^m f, g_{\gamma_m} \rangle}{\|u_m\|^2}.$$

- During the first few iterations, the pursuit often selects nearly orthogonal vectors, so the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization is not needed.
- The orthogonal and nonorthogonal pursuits are then nearly the same.
- When the number of iterations increases and gets close to N, the residues of an orthogonal pursuit have norms that decrease faster than for a non-orthogonal pursuit.